

George W. Bush and Tony Blair – is that all there is? Is that all you have to say?

By

Dr. Mohsen El-Guindy

I had no intention to write this article in the first place because the facts about the American-Anglo-Israeli plot against the Middle East are well known to all. The plot was meant to redraw the map of the Middle East according to the geostrategic needs and objectives of the United States and its allies. This was supposed to be done by dividing the Middle East region into religious and ethnic factions living in small sectors without borders. Because the United States was facing a devastating financial crisis, it was the plan that America takes full advantage of its overwhelming military supremacy, including hitherto impermissible means, with inevitably terrible effects on the targeted populations. Not only inconvenient regimes but even certain US client regimes (such as Saudi Arabia) may be targeted. These countries are slated for direct rule by the American military, or rule under close and detailed direction by US monitors — encompassing not only foreign policy and economic policy, but political, social and cultural institutions as well. Direct control of oil will pass into American hands. Importantly, this drive is also intended to prevent the emergence of rivals to American worldwide hegemony. Of course, America's primary aim is colonial-style exploitation, in order to maximize the loot and widen its captive markets.

The plot against the Middle East began by invading Afghanistan and then Iraq. Iraq will be the first to be attacked, followed by Syria, Libya, Yemen, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and then several other Middle Eastern countries. But how this plot against the Middle East Began? Two aggressors executed the well prepared plot against the Middle East, namely, George W. Bush the former President of the United States, and his attendant, Tony Blair the Prime Minister of the UK at the time. I will start by Tony Blair.

**Tony Blair**

In order to make the war against Iraq legitimate, lies must be fabricated, and false accusations must be introduced to the international community.

Tony Blair played a deceitful role in the Iraqi war as indicated in the Chilcot Report.

## **The Chilcot report**

A seven-year investigation - has delivered a damning verdict on the decision by former Prime Minister Tony Blair to commit British troops to the US-led invasion of Iraq in 2003.

The report by Sir John Chilcot, a former civil servant, amounts to an excoriating verdict on Britain's political, military and intelligence establishments, all of which are heavily implicated for misjudgments and occasionally ineptitude. It reserves particular criticism for Mr. Blair, the former prime minister.

Sir John Chilcot's 6,000-page report on the Iraq war and occupation delivered a crushing verdict on Tony Blair's decision to join the US invasion, finding a cascade of mistakes and bad strategy that led to years of devastating mismanagement and strife.

The report shaped forever the legacy of Tony Blair who was once one of Britain's most popular post-war premiers, only to become a figure of intense divisiveness at home after committing himself as the closest wartime ally of US President George W Bush.

The report has tarnished Blair's reputation and legacy. Tony Blair committed to an invasion of Iraq almost eight months before receiving parliamentary and legal backing, and began military action before diplomatic alternatives were exhausted.

The results of the report could be summarized in the following:

The UK chose to join the invasion before peaceful options had been exhausted. Military action at the time was not a last resort.

Prime Minister Tony Blair had deliberately exaggerated the threat

posed by Saddam Hussein and his regime as he sought to make the case for military action to MPs and the public in the buildup to the invasion in 2002 and 2003.

Prime Minister Tony Blair disregarded warnings about the potential consequences of military action, and relied too heavily on his own beliefs, rather than the more nuanced judgments of the intelligence services. The judgments about Iraq's capabilities ... were presented with a certainty that was not justified.

Tony Blair wrote to George W Bush eight months before the Iraq invasion to offer his unqualified backing for war well before UN weapons inspectors had complete their work, saying: "I will be with you, whatever." In a six-page memo marked secret and personal, the then British prime minister told Bush, US president at the time, in July 2002 that the removal of Saddam Hussein would "free up the region" even if Iraqis may "feel ambivalent about being invaded". The report shed light on what happened between Blair and Bush in the months leading up to the March 2003 invasion, an interaction that has long been the subject of speculation about secret deals and pledges.

The decision to invade was made in unsatisfactory circumstances. The inquiry did not reach a view on the legality of the war, saying this could only be assessed by a "properly constituted and internationally recognized court", but did make a damning assessment of how the decision was made. The process for deciding that the war was legal is described as "perfunctory" by the inquiry, while "no formal record was made of that decision, and the precise grounds on which it was made remains unclear."

The inquiry found that the Bush administration repeatedly over-rode advice from the UK on how to oversee Iraq after the invasion, including the involvement of the United Nations, the control of Iraqi oil money and the extent to which better security should be put at the heart of the military operation.

The inquiry specifically criticizes the way in which the US dismantled the security apparatus of the Saddam Hussein army and describes the whole invasion as a strategic failure.

Iran, North Korea and Libya were considered greater threats in terms of nuclear, chemical and biological weapons proliferation, and the UK joint intelligence committee believed it would take Iraq five years, after the lifting of sanctions, to produce enough fissile material for a weapon, Chilcot finds. Britain's previous strategy of containment could have been adopted and continued for some time.

The Chilcot report identifies a series of major blunders by the British intelligence services that produced "flawed" information about Saddam's alleged weapons of mass destruction, the basis for going to war. Chilcot says the intelligence community worked from the start on the misguided assumption that Saddam had WMDs and made no attempt to consider the possibility that he had got rid of them, which he had.

The UK's military involvement in Iraq ended with the "humiliating" decision to strike deals with enemy militias because British forces were seriously ill-equipped and there was "wholly inadequate" planning and preparation for life after Saddam Hussein, the Chilcot report finds. The Ministry of Defence (MoD) planned the invasion in a rush and was slow to react to the security threats on the ground, particularly the use of improvised explosive devices (IEDs) that killed so many troops, the report says.

The Chilcot report rejects the view that the UK would have lost diplomatic influence if it had refused to join the war. "Blair was right to weigh the possible consequences for the wider alliance with the US very carefully," the report says. But it adds: "If the UK had refused to join the US in the war it would not have led to a fundamental or lasting change in the UK's relationship with the US."

The report says that between early 2002 and March 2003 Blair was told that, post-invasion, Iraq could degenerate into civil war. In September 2002, the US secretary of state, Colin Powell, predicted "a terrible bloodletting of revenge after Saddam goes", adding: "Traditional in Iraq after conflict." Sir Christopher Meyer, UK ambassador to the US, added: "It will probably make pacifying Afghanistan look like child's play." Chilcot rejects Blair's claim that the subsequent chaos and sectarian conflict could not have been predicted.

Blair did not identify which ministers were responsible for post-war planning and strategy. The prime minister also failed to press Bush for “definitive assurances” about the US’s post-conflict plans. Nor did he envisage anything other than the best-case scenario once the invasion was over: that a US-led and UN-authorized force would find itself operating in a “relatively benign security environment”. All of this contributed to Britain’s ultimate strategic failure.

The Bush administration appointed Ambassador Paul Bremer to head a new coalition provisional authority in Baghdad. The UK had practically no input into subsequent decisions taken by Bremer, including the dissolving of Saddam’s army and security structures. This decision alienated the Sunni community and fed the insurgency. Blair continued to talk to Bush, but Britain had little influence on the ground over day-to-day policymaking.

Chilcot report says that by 2009, when UK forces were pulled out of Iraq, Downing Street was facing strategic failure. Iraq was gripped by “deep sectarian divisions”. There was a fragile situation in Basra, rows over oil revenues, and rampant corruption inside Iraqi government ministries. No evidence had been found that Saddam had weapons of mass destruction. During this period the government did not reappraise the situation, Chilcot says. He describes as “meagre” the results of Britain’s costly six-year occupation.

Before the war, Blair had said that the US-led invasion coalition would try to minimize civilian casualties. As the war and occupation unfolded, however, the MoD made only a “broad estimate” of how many Iraqis were being killed. The report says that more time was devoted to which department should have responsibility for the issue than was spent on finding out the number. The government’s main interest was to “rebut accusations that coalition forces were responsible for the deaths of large numbers” of Iraqis. By 2009 at least 150,000 Iraqis, mostly civilians, had died, and more than a million had been displaced.

The report finds that the use of force was undertaken at a time when Saddam posed “no imminent threat” and in a way that undermined the authority of the UN Security Council.

More than 200 British citizens — soldiers, aid workers and others — died as a result of the conflict in Iraq.

In a previously unpublished memo from July 2002, Mr Blair told Mr Bush: “I will be with you, whatever.” That commitment — given without consulting colleagues — made it very difficult for the UK subsequently to withdraw its support for the March 2003 invasion, the report found.

Crucially, the report rejects Mr. Blair’s arguments that diplomatic options for controlling Iraq’s weapons programmes — particularly via the UN — were not worth pursuing further by March 2003. “Military action at that time was not a last resort,” Sir John said.

The Chilcot report finds pre-war intelligence was misrepresented, legal advice was not given satisfactorily, military strategies were impractical and planning for the occupation was “wholly inadequate”. The direct cost of the conflict to the UK was “at least £9.2bn”.

Jeremy Corbyn, the Labour leader, who opposed the war at the time, said the report showed the war was “an act of military aggression launched on a false pretext”. He later described it as a “catastrophe” and concluded: “I now apologize sincerely on behalf of my party for the decision to go to war in Iraq”.

Tim Farron, leader of the Liberal Democrats, the only major party to oppose the invasion, said Mr. Blair and Mr. Bush had “created a failed state which continues to be the source of extremism and instability across the Middle East” (1).

## **Conclusion:**

The Chilcot report is a statement from Blair’s own people that he was a deceitful liar.

## **Blair’s fabrication of the truth**

After the secret agreement between Blair and Bush to invade Iraq, Blair now needed to persuade the public to identify Saddam as an urgent threat. So, in the months that followed the Crawford Ranch

meeting, he and his advisers dedicated themselves to proving that Saddam was an evil monster hell-bent on the destruction of his neighbors – and possibly even Britain.

Let's see the fabricated lies of Tony Blair – Bush's poodle - to convince the world that Iraq was an evil country and deserved to be destroyed.

## 1- Weapons of mass-destruction

From Blair's viewpoint, there was one gigantic hitch. He had no serious evidence that Saddam possessed viable "weapons of mass destruction".

Furthermore, British officials were aware of the flimsy nature of the evidence. One of these was Carne Ross, then the foremost expert on Iraq at the United Nations, who'd read all the UK and US intelligence Iraq briefings each day for four and a half years.

As he told the Chilcot inquiry: "There were approximately 12 or so unaccounted-for Scud missiles; Iraq's air force was depleted to the point of total ineffectiveness; its army was but a pale shadow of its earlier might; there was no evidence of any connection between Iraq and any terrorist organization that might have planned an attack using Iraqi WMDs...

"There was, moreover, no intelligence or assessment ... that Iraq had any intention to launch an attack against its neighbors or the UK or US." In other words, Iraq presented only a limited threat to its neighbors – and none at all to Britain and the United States.

Yet, from early 2002 onwards, Tony Blair began giving a series of false and misleading statements about the existence and development of Iraq's so-called weapons of mass destruction. He told NBC News: "We know that he [Saddam] has stockpiles of major amounts of chemical and biological weapons, we know that he is trying to acquire nuclear capability, we know that he is trying to develop ballistic missile capability of a greater range."

Three days later, at a press conference with Bush, Blair informed reporters that "we know [Saddam] has been developing these

weapons. We know that those weapons constitute a threat."

Then, on 10 April, he told the House of Commons: "Saddam Hussein's regime is despicable - he is developing weapons of mass destruction, and we cannot leave him doing so unchecked. He is a threat to his own people and to the region and, if allowed to develop these weapons, a threat to us also."

While the JIC was suggesting that Iraq might have small quantities of chemical weapons, Blair was stating that 'we know that [Saddam] has stockpiles of major amounts of chemical and biological weapons". Therefore, Blair's statements to the media and Parliament were at the very least gross misrepresentations of the intelligence. They were, however, vital in making the case for war.

## 2- Manipulating intelligence

In February 2002, the government had started compiling a paper for public consumption on the mass destructive capabilities of four countries: Iran, Iraq, Libya and North Korea.

Almost at once this raised the question: why take military action against Iraq rather than one of the others? In fact, the intelligence services considered Iraq to be only the third or fourth most dangerous of these countries.

On 15 March, John Scarlett - chairman of the JIC - suggested a solution: "You may still wish to consider whether more impact could be achieved if the paper only covered Iraq. This would have the benefit of obscuring the fact that in terms of WMD, Iraq is not that exceptional."

It was therefore agreed that the dossier – compiled with the help of Secret Intelligence Service [SIS] - should focus on Iraq alone. After that, cautious intelligence statements were simply rewritten as propaganda.

In the foreword to the dossier, for example, Blair stated firmly: "What I believe the assessed intelligence has established beyond doubt is that Saddam has continued to produce chemical and biological weapons, that he continues in his efforts to develop nuclear weapons, and that he has been able to extend the range of his ballistic missile program."



Almost all of these assertions turned out to be misleading. Yet, shockingly, intelligence chiefs Richard Dearlove and John Scarlett both tolerated New Labor's use of secret intelligence as propaganda. Both had been sucked into the intimate circle around the Prime Minister – Scarlett, for instance, attended meetings chaired by Blair's spin-doctor. He was even part of a cluster of aides who hovered over a press spokesman as he drew up a press release. In other words, they both entered into a tacit conspiracy to deceive the British people.

### 3- Blair's headache – no weapons of mass-destruction

Bush gave Saddam a final chance to disarm and insisted on the return to Iraq of UN weapons inspectors – led by Hans Blix – for the first time since 1998.

A few days later, Iraq accepted. Had Blair fallen under the proverbial bus at this point, he might now be classed among the greatest international political leaders of modern times. Unfortunately, from this point on, nothing at all went to plan.

To the surprise of many observers, the inspectors were given access to every site they asked to visit. Over three months, they conducted more than 900 inspections at over 500 sites. They did not find WMDs, let alone evidence of a nuclear program, in a single one of them, which meant a big headache for Blair.

Blair now had to choose between the United Nations and the United States, between legality and illegality, between peace and war. Most members of the UN Security Council wanted the weapons inspectors to continue their work until they were satisfied that Iraq had disarmed.

The alternative – an invasion – “could have incalculable consequences for the stability of a scarred and fragile region,” said the French prime minister. “It would compound the sense of injustice, would aggravate tensions and would risk paving the way for other conflicts.”

Blair wasn't listening. All the evidence suggests that he had already decided to ditch the UN route and join the US in an attack on Iraq. Of course he still had to show that the invasion was lawful – and unfortunately his attorney general, Peter Goldsmith, didn't agree.

However, almost at the eleventh hour, Goldsmith helpfully revised his legal opinion, thus removing the last major obstacle to war. At this point, Elizabeth Wilmshurst, deputy legal adviser at the Foreign Office, memorably resigned. All 27 members of the Foreign Office legal team agreed with her view that the war was unlawful.

#### 4- Lying to Parliament

On 17 March 2003, Blair told his cabinet that Britain would join Bush's invasion, come what may. The following day, MPs were asked to vote on a motion to authorize the war.

Remarkably, even Blair's motion itself was misleading: it claimed that President Jacques Chirac had said in a TV interview that France would always veto a UN resolution authorizing military action against Iraq. In fact, Chirac had said that if and when the weapons inspectors reported that disarmament by inspection was impossible, then "regrettably, the war would become inevitable". Not only did MPs fail to expose this, they also failed to challenge Blair on other gravely misleading assertions and omissions.

In an emotional speech to the House, Blair described a recent weapons inspectors' report as a "remarkable document", and gave the impression that it contained new and damning evidence. Quoting from a section on mustard gas, he said: "Mustard constituted an important part of Iraq's CW [chemical weapons] arsenal - 550 mustard filled shells and up to 450 mustard filled aerial bombs unaccounted for." In reality, the report did not claim that Iraq possessed banned weapons - merely that certain material was "unaccounted for". And Blair's alarmist statement actually meant something quite different if you read the full text from which it was taken.

The mustard-filled shells and bombs that he'd mentioned had been unaccounted for since 1998. "According to an investigation," said the report, "the discrepancy in the accounting for the mustard-filled shells could be explained by the fact that Iraq had based its accounting on approximations." In other words, the shells containing mustard gas may never have existed.

But Blair didn't stop there. He also told MPs that among other weapons unaccounted for since 1998 were "a far-reaching VX nerve agent program" and "unquantifiable amounts of sarin, botulinum toxin and a host of other biological poisons."

"We are asked now seriously to accept that in the last few years – contrary to all history, contrary to all intelligence – Saddam decided unilaterally to destroy those weapons. I say that such a claim is palpably absurd," he thundered.

Again, he was seriously misleading the Commons. The report had made it clear that any "unaccounted for" sarin, VX and botulinum toxin would have degraded by 2003 – and thus no longer be effective in warfare.

Next, Blair turned to the defection in 1995 of Saddam's son-in-law, Hussein Kamal, who had been interviewed by international weapons inspectors after arriving in Jordan.

Kamal, said Blair, had disclosed an extensive biological weapons program "and, for the first time, said that Iraq had weaponized the program."

Kamal – who'd been in charge of Iraq's chemical and biological weapons programs – had also insisted that all of Iraq's WMDs had been destroyed on his orders.

Had Kamal merely pretended to defect in order to sow disinformation? Unlikely. Some months after briefing the inspectors, he was lured back to Iraq by Saddam, who promptly had him executed.

Arguably, Blair's most hair-raising pitch to Parliament was the possibility of Saddam giving terrorists WMDs or even "a so-called dirty radiological bomb". This, he said, was "in my judgement, a real and present danger to Britain and its national security."

What he didn't mention was that he'd recently received an intelligence assessment which said:

a. There was no intelligence that Iraq had provided chemical or biological materials to al-Qaeda or had any intentions to aid terrorist

attacks;

b. If Saddam's regime collapsed, there was a risk such material could fall into the hands of terrorists;

c. Al-Qaeda and associated groups continued to represent by far the greatest terrorist threat to Western interests - and that threat would be heightened by military action against Iraq.

MPs duly passed the motion to go to war, by an overwhelming 412 votes to 149.

## 5- War

On the same day that Tony Blair was persuading MPs to support him, the UN inspectors were withdrawn from Iraq for their own safety. The date for the invasion was not determined by the progress or otherwise of the ongoing weapons inspections. It was determined only by the US military timetable, to which the UK had assented.

On 19 March 2003 the allies opened an overwhelming "shock-and-awe" offensive by air and land against Saddam's inferior and reluctant army.

Britain would lose 179 soldiers, the Americans over 4,000, and thousands more would suffer permanent physical or psychological damage.

The number of Iraqi civilians who died as a direct or indirect result of the war is estimated to be between around 800,000 and 1 million (2).

### **The ramblings of a mad man**

After his reputation was savaged by Sir John Chilcot report, and in order to vindicate himself before the people, Blair launched more lies and weaved a tapestry of deceit. He shifted the blame by saying the intelligence was not his responsibility, and that he did not falsify or manipulated the information given to him by the intelligence.

He accepted however, that intelligence had turned out to be wrong

and had led to an aftermath that was 'more hostile, protracted and bloody than ever we imagined'.

The poor man relied on suspicion and waged a war against Iraq without any logical reason. He didn't know that the root of evil is unfounded suspicion. Suspicion in the sight of God is a sin. He warned the believers to avoid suspicion.

The Koran says:

Believers, avoid much suspicion. Indeed some suspicion is a sin (49: 12).

Tony Blair said he would 'take the same decision' to invade Iraq again if he was presented with the same intelligence. Ex foreign secretary Jack Straw echoed Blair in saying he would also take the same decision if he was presented with the same intelligence.

Blair insisted that he didn't lie to parliament, and that it was still 'better to remove Saddam Hussein' from power and decision was taken 'in good faith'.

In a remarkable performance of self-defense at a special press conference that lasted for nearly two hours, Blair appeared as a serpent trying to destroy people's understanding and intelligence. With humble but deceptive words he tried to accomplish his wicked desire. He exerted sorrow and humility and described the decision to take military action to remove Saddam Hussein in 2003 as the 'hardest, most momentous, most agonizing' of his 10 years in office.

Blair appeared to be close to tears as he accepted the serious criticisms made of him and his government in the run up and aftermath of the Iraq War and said he accepted 'full responsibility, without exception, without excuse'.

His voice cracked as he said: 'For all of this I express more sorrow, regret and apology than you may ever know or can believe.'  
He added later: 'The decisions I made I have carried with me for 13 years and will do so for the rest of my days. 'There will not be a day of my life where I do not relive and rethink what happened.

But he claimed the Iraq Inquiry proved 'there were no lies' from him over the justification for invading Iraq in March 2003 and showed neither Parliament nor Cabinet were misled. Then he returned to pathetic arrogance and stubbornness by insisting again: 'if I was back in the same place, with the same information I would take the same decision because obviously that was the decision I believe was right.' He added: 'All I'm saying today, because obviously some of the intelligence has turned out to be wrong, the planning wasn't done properly, I have to accept those criticisms, I accept responsibility for them.'

The man is a master in playing with the words and with the feelings of the people. But who would believe him except the fools, and those who participated with him in this horrendous crime like Jack Straw.

Tony Blair helped to promote the greatest calamity of the 21st century so far. There is no doubt that he lied to the British people – and there's even a case for considering him a war criminal.

The illegal invasion of Iraq has been unequivocally proven as a fraud and a massive deception by Tony Blair and the then UK Labor government.

The war against Iraq proved that there was no weapons of mass-destruction, no anthrax, no strong relations between Saddam and Osama bin Laden, and no terrorism that must be wiped out urgently from the surface of the world. On the contrary, the war against Iraq created violent terrorists now occupying large territories of the Middle East and victimizing its populations. In addition, thousands of Iraqis had died needlessly and pre-maturely. The war ruined houses and properties, created millions of refugees now seeking refuge in Europe. Decent families are now scattered on the borders of foreign countries seeking shelter, and shoved in camps like animals and are denied their right to live as decent persons!

Away from the Public international law, which establishes the liberty and independence of nations, Blair had a secret commitment with George W. Bush. He made a pledge to commit Britain to war. They both agreed to invade Iraq no matter what. They breached the international Law and considered it as a joke.

Tony Blair effectively agreed to act as a front man for American foreign policy in advance of any decision by the House of Commons or the British Cabinet. He was happy to launder Bush's policy on Iraq and sub-contract British foreign policy to another country without having the remotest ability to have any real influence over it just to impress voters in the UK.

The man once renowned for his charisma and popularity has become a global embarrassment, despised and ridiculed in equal measure.

### **Tony Blair a man of the earth - his primary goal in life is to amass fame and fortune**

After Blair left Downing Street in June 2007, he took the job of a special representative of the Quartet of international powers seeking a peace agreement between Israel and the Palestinians. His job was to help develop the Palestinian economy and improve governance. He stayed in the job for eight years, and then resigned as Middle East peace envoy amid controversy about his role. He never once dared to speak out. He was all too comfortable with a status quo that was unsustainable.

Critics in Britain and in the Arab world focused on his responsibility for the 2003 invasion of Iraq, and the Palestinians saw him as being too close to the Israelis, who were then, as now, far more powerful than the Palestinians still living under occupation. His efforts rarely challenged the status quo that was unstable. The Arab officials say that those expecting Tony Blair to solve the Arab Israeli conflict are naïve. He has achieved so very little because of his gross efforts to please the Israelis.

There has been mounting unease in Britain, the US and the EU over his extensive business activities in the Middle East – which have led to repeated accusations of conflicts of interest. Criticism of his effectiveness and credibility has increased in recent months and there was anger that he visited the Gaza Strip so infrequently.

Palestinians loathed him; Israelis despised him but tolerated him because they knew he'd never say anything they disliked.

Surely Blair is ardent in his love of wealth. How greedy he is for wealth, and gains! It is the love of fame and wealth which blinds him, he is not grateful to his Lord. He does not know his obligations towards his Lord.

Badly tainted by his record in the Iraq War, which rightly aroused widespread distrust, he never threw himself wholeheartedly into the task, partly because he became so obsessed with making money. Indeed, his eagerness to develop and exploit his global business contacts both undermined his credibility and gave rise to serious conflicts of interests.

Since leaving office, Blair has received millions of pounds from a mixture of business interests that include advising Arab and other governments, consultancy work for the US investment bank JP Morganand, the lucrative international speaking circuit.

His clients in the Middle East include the Gulf Estates of Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates. Others are Peru, Colombia, Vietnam and Kazakhstan.

When Blair was a representative of the quartet and was travelling frequently to the Middle East, no one seemed sure whose business he was travelling on. No one knew whether the man was acting as the Middle East envoy, or as a business man searching for fortunes to amass. On January 26, 2009, for instance, he met the Emir of Kuwait while on one of his sweeps though the region. We do not know whether anything came out of it in terms of Middle East peace, but we do know that soon afterwards the Emir gave him a contract for consultancy services estimated at £27 million a year.

Blair also visits Abu Dhabi on behalf of the Quartet, a state whose Crown Prince has also become a generous client of Tony Blair Associates. The prince has brought with him, as another client, the company Mubadala, which invests Abu Dhabi's oil profits, and to which the former PM supplies 'global strategic advice'.

Blair is also an adviser to J. P. Morgan Bank, which pays him \$2million a year. A U.S. businessman who used to be close to Blair puts it bluntly: he said that Blair used the role of envoy as 'a calling card'.



Blair has claimed his net worth is around £10m and dismissed reports that his fortune could be 10 times as much. He has insisted his income pays for philanthropic projects, including development work in Africa and an interfaith charity. Blair's properties include a multimillion-pound London home and a country retreat once owned by Sir John Gielgud, bought for £5.75m (3).

After the devastating effect of the Chilcot report on Blair, he tried to find solace by meeting with his chief partner in the war crime George W. Bush. Bill Clinton joined them. Although Bush led the invasion of Iraq, Bill Clinton laid the groundwork with the sanctions and the continuous bombing of Iraq. This has led to the deaths of more than a half a million children, not to mention more than a million Iraqis. When asked on US television if she [Madeline Albright, US Secretary of State] thought that the death of half a million Iraqi children [from sanctions in Iraq and continuous bombing] was a price worth paying, Albright replied: "This is a very hard choice, but we think the price is worth it."

The three met at Arkansas high school to attend a graduation ceremony for an educational program called Presidential Leadership Scholars. As if their meeting together had angered God, a strong tornado was sent sweeping through Arkansas and Oklahoma, downing trees, toppling a semi-truck on the highway and leaving almost 200,000 customers without power.

The two ex-Presidents and the Prime Minister were hustled away to the basement of the school after severe winds began breaking windows.

They say that Tony Blair goes down in history as one of the great British Prime Ministers. I see no superior merit in him; in fact, I believe as most people do, that he is a hypocrite liar that has committed the most horrendous crime in the 21st century – war in Iraq.

## **George W. Bush**

George W. Bush invaded Iraq by launching a pack of lies to conceal the real reasons behind his illegitimate attack against a sovereign state. Let's know the real reason behind Bush invasion to Iraq.

Invading Iraq was not a new idea. A small band of conservative ideologues had begun making the case for an American invasion of Iraq as early as 1997 - nearly four years before the Sept. 11 attacks and three years before Bush took office.

An obscure, ominous-sounding right-wing policy group called Project for the New American Century, or PNAC - affiliated with Cheney, Rumsfeld, Rumsfeld's top deputy Paul Wolfowitz and Bush's brother Jeb - urged then-President Clinton to invade Iraq back in January 1998.

The driving force behind the group was Richard Perle, a member of the Reagan administration, a member of the board of extreme right-wing think tanks such as the American Enterprise Institute and the Hudson Institute, and previously the head of the Defense Policy Board (dismissed due to proof of fraud in his business dealings), an advisory group to the Pentagon. Other founders too of the PNAC occupied leading positions in the Bush administration: vice-president Dick Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld, defense secretary, Paul Wolfowitz, deputy defense secretary, I. Lewis Libby, Cheney's chief of staff, William J. Bennett, Reagan's education secretary, and Zalmay Khalilzad, American special envoy to Afghanistan and imminently to the "free Iraqi people". (Governor Jeb Bush, George's younger brother, was also among the founders.) Hence the report reflects the intentions of those who were in office.

Titled "Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources for a New Century", the report spells out "American grand strategy" for "as far into the future as possible". Among its high-lights, as outlined in the Aspects of India's Economy Nos. 33 & 34, are the following:

1. The report says, "The United States has for decades sought to play a more permanent role in Gulf regional security. While the unresolved conflict with Iraq provides the immediate justification, the need for a substantial American force presence in the Gulf transcends the issue of the regime of Saddam Hussein." Clearly, the American plan to invade Iraq has nothing to do with Saddam Hussein or any weapons of mass destruction. Invasion of

Iraq was on the cards, and Saddam is the excuse. The report adds that "even should Saddam pass from the scene", bases in Saudi Arabia and Kuwait would remain permanently as "Iran may well prove as large a threat to US interests as Iraq has".

2. The US should be able to "fight and decisively win multiple, simultaneous major theatre wars", and increase military spending by \$48 billion to ensure this.

3. The US should create "US Space Forces" to dominate space. The 'star wars' program, officially known as National Missile Defense, should be made a priority. The US should develop "bunker-buster" nuclear weapons. Whereas till now nuclear weapons were considered strategic weapons — a threat of massive retaliation to deter an attack — the development of such uses for smaller nuclear weapons would make them into tactical weapons, that could be used in the ordinary course of battle, as it were. The US, the report unmistakably implies, "should also develop biological weapons: New methods of attack — electronic, 'non-lethal', biological — will be more widely available.... combat likely will take place in new dimensions, in space, cyberspace and perhaps the world of microbes.... advanced forms of biological warfare that can 'target' specific genotypes (i.e., kill people selectively based on their race or ethnicity) may transform biological warfare from the realm of terror to a politically useful tool."

4. The report says that "it is time to increase the presence of American forces in Southeast Asia". This may lead to "American and allied power providing the spur to the process of democratization in China." In other words, the US should strive to replace the present Chinese regime with a clearly pro-American one, and for this South Asia could be used as the launching pad. .

5. Supposedly in order to check regimes such as North Korea, Libya, Syria and Iran the US military should set up a "worldwide command-and-control system".

6. The PNAC supports a "blueprint for maintaining global US pre-eminence, precluding the rise of a great power rival, and shaping the international security order in line with American principles and interests." Thus the document explicitly calls for preventing the "American century" becoming anyone else's, even if peacefully. Close allies such as the UK are referred

to as "the most effective and efficient means of exercising American global leadership" — that is, a mere mask for American hegemony.

Peace-keeping missions are described as "demanding American political leadership rather than that of the United Nations".

Perhaps the most startling element of this plan is the targeting of Saudi Arabia, long considered the most faithful American ally among the Arab countries — the base for the American assault on Iraq in 1991, a continuing US military base thereafter, the US's second largest market for weapons, the largest supplier of oil to the US (at a special discount to boot), and the source of up to \$700 billion of investments in the US. On July 10, 2002 a researcher from the RAND Corporation (a prominent think-tank, created by the US Air Force but now quasi-independent, that regularly does projects for the American defense and foreign policy establishments) made a presentation to the Defense Policy Board — headed, by Perle. The briefing, titled "Taking Saudi out of Arabia", claimed that "The Arab world has been in a systemic crisis for the last 200 years" and that "Since independence, wars have been the principal output of the Arab world". It went on to describe Saudi Arabia in bizarre terms as an enemy of the US ("the kernel of evil, the prime mover, the most dangerous opponent", "The Saudis are active at every level of the terror chain, from planners to financiers, from cadre to foot-soldier, from ideologist to cheerleader"), and recommended that the US give it an ultimatum to prevent any anti-US activity in Arabia, failing which its oil fields could be seized by US troops and the House of Saud replaced by the Hashemite monarchy that now rules Jordan. The latest removal of the US base from Saudi Arabia may be a part of the process of implementation of the report.

The plotters who wrote the report and supporting the Iraqi war, previously introduced the report to President Carter and then to President Clinton, who both refused to openly attack Iraq.

The plot against the Middle East was now becoming clear. It was an American-Anglo-Israeli plot. It was a war against Muslims and their religion through invading their countries, and stealing away their resources. This would be achieved through dividing the Muslim countries into religious and ethnic factions and sects living in small sectors without borders, so that America could draw the map of the Middle East in accordance with its geostrategic needs and objectives. This would also ensure the defeat of Islam as a religion. That what they thought.

The war will start with Afghanistan, proceed to Iraq, and then devour several other Arab countries. This could be explained by the statement of Under Secretary Condoleezza Rice in June 2006 after the Israeli-Lebanon war. The damage inflicted by Israel on Lebanon was damaging and devastating and tore the country apart. Condoleezza Rice however, welcomed the chaos in Lebanon and stated that a project for a New Middle East was being launched from Lebanon. She meant that the chaos devastating Lebanon would be the beginning of other chaos ruining the countries of the Middle East.

Lebanon would be therefore the pressure point for realigning the whole Middle East, therefore unleashing the forces of 'constructive chaos. This constructive chaos which, generates conditions of violence and warfare throughout the region, would in turn be used so that the United States, Britain and Israel could draw the map of the Middle East according to their interests, greed and goals.

After the events of September 11, the ground was now prepared for the battle against Iraq and the Middle East. What remains is a pack of lies to be fabricated and introduced to the international community to justify the war against Muslims and their countries who Bush considered as the forces of evil!

George Bush, suggested a connection between the destruction of the twin towers - the two skyscrapers of the world Trade Centre in New York - and Saddam Hussein although everyone knew that the twin towers had been destroyed by terrorists on 11 September 2001. The terrorists that destroyed the twin towers had no known connection with Iraq.

Nevertheless, George Bush made that connection.

Like Blair in Britain, Bush tried to create fear of Iraq as if it were a terrifying enemy. He said, "The United States and our friends and allies will not live at the mercy of an outlaw regime that threatens the peace with weapons of mass murder."

Bush led a systematic campaign to frighten the hell out of the US about the threat of Saddam Hussein, and almost none of it was true.

"The Iraqi dictator must not be permitted to threaten America and the world with horrible poisons and diseases and gases and atomic weapons." George Bush said in Oct. 7, 2002, in a speech in Cincinnati.

After Bush's stirring declaration of war and nearly two months since he declared victory, no chemical, biological or nuclear weapons have been found, nor any documentation of their existence, nor any sign they were deployed in the field.

On 5 February 2003 Colin Powell, United States Secretary of State, was forced to present a dossier of false evidence to the UN Security Council trying to prove a massive program of illegal weapons building by Iraq.

The evidence presented by Colin Powell and the media included maps showing the countries most at risk from Iraq's missiles and weapons of mass destruction.

This barrage of pseudo-evidence may have convinced many in the United States and Britain but it did not convince the leaders of the supposedly threatened neighboring countries. All of Iraq's neighbors, even Kuwait and Iran, opposed to US bombing of Iraq.

The United Nations did not support the war. All the countries neighboring Iraq did not support the war. The majority of countries in the world did not support the war. The majority of people in Britain did not support the war.

Bush handed over foreign policymaking, especially pertaining to the Middle East, to a small army of Jewish Zionists, aided and abetted by notorious militarists, like Cheney and Rumsfeld. Most major political events were handled by his Cabinet thugs – Secretary of State Colin Powell shamelessly fabricated the 'evidence' of Saddam Hussein's weapons of mass destruction in his performance before the United Nations. Donald Rumsfeld and Paul Wolfowitz invented Afghanistan's ties to the planners of 9/11. Cheney and his Zionist troika of Paul Wolfowitz, Douglas Feith and 'Scooter' Libby trumpeted the 'global war on terror' while Michael Chertoff, Michael Mukasey and Stuart Levey conducted a domestic war against the Bill of Rights and US Constitutional freedoms, defending torture, jailing thousands of

Muslims, punishing businesses trading with Iran and labeling US opponents of Israel's war crimes as 'security threats'.

In 2003 while lobbying leaders to put together the Coalition of the Willing, President Bush spoke to France's President Jacques Chirac. Bush told Chirac that Gog and Magog were at work in the Middle East and they had to be defeated.

Bush is believed to have told Chirac: "This confrontation is willed by God, who wants to use this conflict to erase his people's enemies before a New Age begins".

In the same year he spoke to Chirac, Bush had reportedly said to the Palestinian foreign minister that he was on "a mission from God" in launching the invasions of Iraq and Afghanistan and was receiving commands from the Lord.

Over the objections of many nations, Washington attacked Iraq on March 20, 2003. The statistics revealed that after invasion, the documented civilian deaths amounted to 161,390 – 180,330; and total violent deaths including combatants reached 251,000.

Iraq's infrastructure was in ruins. Tens of thousands of homes had been destroyed. Fallujah, a city of 300,000 people had 36,000 of its 50,000 homes destroyed by the US military.

Half of the city's former population were displaced persons living in tents. Thousands of Iraqis had been detained in prisons and hundreds had been brutally tortured. America's reputation in the Muslim world was ruined.

US military casualties to date are approximately 20,000 killed, wounded, maimed, and disabled. Iraqi civilian casualties number in the tens of thousands.

The war cost was \$300 billion—every dollar borrowed from foreigners. Economic and budgetary experts had calculated that the ultimate cost of Bush’s Iraq war in terms of long-term care for veterans, interest on borrowed money, and resources diverted from productive uses will be between \$1 trillion and \$2 trillion.

The brutal truth is that America has destroyed a country and created political chaos for no reason whatsoever. What was being achieved for this enormous sacrifice? I wonder!

### **The condition of Iraq before the American invasion**

The truth was that Bush invaded a weak defenseless country. That was not an act of bravery as he falsely claimed, but an act of cowardice.

Iraq was put under sanction from 1991 to 2002. Sanctions had been put in place by mainly western countries acting through the United Nations Security Council. What they did was put strict and sometimes devastating limit on supplies to Iraq including, for example, chemicals necessary for water purification. These caused many thousands of deaths and a terrible weakening of the general population.

Alongside the sanctions was a little publicized and now forgotten bombing campaign by US and UK forces from 1991 to 2002.

The years of bombing caused enormous damage, loss of life and the destruction of the Iraqi air force. Tony Blair, and George Bush, sought to portray Iraq to the world as an enormous threat, but it didn't even have an air force.

Saddam Hussein was capable of utter ruthlessness and brutality. Yet, extraordinarily, he had another side to his character. He did a great deal to further the well-being of the majority of Iraqi citizens.

At one time Iraq, under Saddam Hussein, had been a prosperous country with an enviable free National Health Service and an



outstanding national education system – free up to and including university level.

But by 2003, the punitive sanctions and eleven years of bombing, Iraq was a weak, impoverished, and almost defenseless country - an easy victim of an aggressive superpower.

The condition of Iraq before the war was pitiable. After two major wars and a dozen years of economic sanctions, the economy was in shambles, the country remained saddled with a ponderous debt that vastly exceeded its annual gross domestic product, and oil production—the country’s single greatest source of revenue—was badly hobbled.

Since the 1991 Gulf War, a combination of the effects of war, sanctions, deteriorating health care provisions, contaminated water, military actions, etc. have contributed to a humanitarian disaster in Iraq, further exacerbated by military strikes, such as those in 1998. Even the Secretary General of the UN, Koffi Annan had politely expressed his disappointment.

The Iraqi population’s economic and social rights had been seriously infringed by the impact of a prolonged embargo; much of the burden caused by the embargo fell on the civilian population.

The immediate consequence of eight years of sanctions has been a dramatic fall in living standards, the collapse of the infrastructure, and a serious decline in the availability of public services. The economic disruption has already led to heightened levels of crime, corruption and violence. Competition for increasingly scarce resources has allowed the Iraqi state to use clan and sectarian rivalries to maintain its control, further fragmenting Iraqi society.

Since the **1998** Iraq had been constantly bombed, with the killing of civilians as well. However, this was rarely reported in the US mainstream media apart from the larger bombing campaigns.

In addition, As UNICEF and other United Nations bodies and officials have reported, the sanctions (which the U.S. and U.K., primarily, refused to have lifted), added to the death toll since 1991 and was

estimated to be close to 1 million deaths up to 1998 with mass starvations and Up to half of these are said to have been children. In any case, the sanctions have been criticized for targeting Iraqi people and not Saddam Hussein's regime.

A UNICEF study in 1999 also showed that the child mortality rate in Iraq has increased in government controlled areas of Iraq. The report also says that child deaths have actually doubled in the last ten years.

The U.S. sanctions have also been used to destroy Iraq's water supply.

The impacts of the UN policies largely pressured by the U.S. and U.K. had a considerable portion of the blame. Denis Halliday was coordinator of humanitarian relief to Iraq and Assistant Secretary-General of the United Nations, one of the top most officials. He resigned in 1998, after 34 years with the UN. As John Pilger comments. His was the first public expression of an unprecedented rebellion within the UN bureaucracy. "I am resigning, [as Assistant Secretary-General of the United Nations]" he [Denis Halliday] wrote, "Because the policy of economic sanctions is totally bankrupt. We are in the process of destroying an entire society. It is as simple and terrifying as that ... Five thousand children are dying every month ... I don't want to administer a program that results in figures like these."

Halliday said: "I had been instructed to implement a policy that satisfies the definition of genocide: a deliberate policy that has effectively killed well over a million individuals, children and adults. We all know that the regime, Saddam Hussein, is not paying the price for economic sanctions; on the contrary, he has been strengthened by them. It is the little people who are losing their children or their parents for lack of untreated water. What is clear is that the Security Council is now out of control, for its actions here undermine its own Charter, and the Declaration of Human Rights and the Geneva Convention. History will slaughter those responsible."

Western military experts generally estimated that in early 2003, Iraq's armed forces were down to about 40% of their 1991 Gulf War levels, when they fielded some 1 million troops. International sanctions had kept Iraq from maintaining or modernizing outdated weapons and

equipment, and Iraqi soldiers lacked training in modern techniques of war.

In a surprise, major battles with the Republican Guard and Special Republican Guard never fully materialized. After days of punishing air strikes, the elite units protecting the outskirts of Baghdad were dispersed and beaten back easily by U.S. forces. Challenging resistance, on the other hand, came from fighting the un-uniformed militias and paramilitaries, such as the Fedayeen Saddam.

This was the condition of Iraq before the American invasion; Iraq was a failed state - a weak and almost defenseless country.

Yet, George W. Bush claimed that he bravely conquered the evil nation with unmatched bravery. His troops were like lions fighting courageously the forces of evil; when his troops however, drove into the heart of Iraq they met little resistance. Most of the Iraqi military was quickly defeated and Baghdad was occupied on 9 April. Other operations occurred against pockets of the Iraqi army, including the capture and occupation of Kirkuk on 10 April, and the attack and capture of Tikrit on 15 April.

The US army's initial assault on Iraq was meant to be a show of superior intelligence and overwhelming force. But the reality on the ground was very different, writes documentary maker Richard Sanders. "But there was a problem – the lack of an enemy. There was desultory Rocket Propelled Grenade (RPG) and small arms fire from the north side of the river on the evening of April 6 and by nightfall this had petered out entirely. The following morning it seemed clear to the press – many of them hardened war correspondents far more experienced in combat than the young Marines – that the north bank had been deserted."

Although the U.S. military withdrew from Iraq, it's not over for the millions of Iraqis who still lack access to clean water and reliable electricity. There Iraqis are living as refugees, or dealing with trauma-related disorders.

Eleven years after the U.S. invasion, Iraq remains steeped in violence, with militant extremists gaining ground, civil war hanging in the balance and no clear resolution to the chaos.

To go further in his deceit, George Bush pictured his invasion to Iraq as heroic. His troops made acts of valor, courage and bravery. The troops battled with a fierce evil enemy and were able to defeat it. Bush celebrated his victory in a showy theatrical manner. He made a dramatic deck landing on the aircraft carrier USS Abraham Lincoln in a navy Viking jet warplane which he piloted himself part of the way.

From the deck of a US aircraft carrier off the California coast, President George W Bush declared that major combat in Iraq was over and called the six-week war "one victory" in the campaign against terror. He added: "In the battle of Iraq, the United States and our allies have prevailed. And now our coalition is engaged in securing and reconstructing that country."

Bush didn't mention that the US death toll in Iraq was climbing, the level of desertion was rising, and crippling illnesses like depression, anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder afflicting soldiers were common.

Exposure to combat had resulted in increased risk of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), major depression, substance abuse, functional impairment in social and employment settings, and the increased use of health care services. A recent study showed that 17% of soldiers and Marines who returned from Iraq screened positive for PTSD, generalized anxiety, or depression, a prevalence nearly twice that observed among soldiers surveyed before deployment.

Two years after declaring "mission accomplished" in Iraq President Bush insisted: "If we were not fighting and destroying the enemy in Iraq, they would not be idle. They would be plotting and killing Americans across the world and within our borders. By fighting these terrorists in Iraq, Americans in uniform are defeating a direct threat to the American people."

Blair has also maintained that the Iraq war has not been responsible for Muslim fundamentalist attacks such as the 7/7 London bombings which killed 52 people. "Iraq, the region and the wider world is a safer place without Saddam [Hussein]," Mr Blair declared in July 2004.

Bush and Blair's war on terror was the leading cause of terrorism. A new report shows that the U.S. has made the world more dangerous -- not just for Americans, but for everyone. Innocent people across the world are now paying the price of the "Iraq effect," with the loss of hundreds of lives directly linked to the invasion and occupation by American and British forces.

An authoritative U.S. study of terrorist attacks after the invasion in 2003 contradicts the repeated denials of George Bush and Tony Blair that the war is not to blame for an upsurge in fundamentalist violence worldwide. The research is said to be the first to attempt to measure the "Iraq effect" on global terrorism.

Iraq was the catalyst for a ferocious fundamentalist backlash, according to the study, which says that the number of those killed by Islamists within Iraq rose from seven to 3,122. Afghanistan, invaded by US and British forces in direct response to the September 11 attacks, saw a rise from very few before 2003 to 802 since then. In the Chechen conflict, the toll rose from 234 to 497. In the Kashmir region, as well as India and Pakistan, the total rose from 182 to 489, and in Europe from none to 297.

The new study, by Peter Bergen and Paul Cruickshank, argues that, on the contrary, "the Iraq conflict has greatly increased the spread of al-Qa'ida ideological virus, as shown by a rising number of terrorist attacks in the past three years from London to Kabul, and from Madrid to the Red Sea.

13 Years after the Invasion, America and Britain destroyed Iraq but their war crimes remained unacknowledged and unpunished. The evil unleashed on the people of Iraq has been painstakingly obscured behind a tapestry of lies.

The Washington DC-based Physicians for Social Responsibility (PRS) released recently a landmark study concluding that the death toll from 10 years of the "War on Terror" since the 9/11 attacks is at least 1.3 million, and could be as high as 2 million.

War and occupation directly and indirectly claimed the lives of about a half-million Iraqis from 2003 to 2011, according to a groundbreaking survey of 1,960 Iraqi households.

The survey responses point to around 405,000 deaths attributable to the war and occupation in Iraq from 2003 to 2011. At least another 56,000 deaths should be added to that total from households forced to flee Iraq, the study author's estimate. More than 60 percent of the excess deaths of men, women, and children reported from 2003 to 2011 were the direct result of shootings, bombings, airstrikes, or other violence, according to the study. The rest came indirectly, from stress-related heart attacks or ruined sanitation and hospitals (4).

It is really ironic to see that Bush had nothing to say when asked about the damage he inflicted to Iraq but the silly void words: "I still believe that the world is better off without Saddam Hussein in power."

As if he went to Iraq to remove only Saddam from power! But how about the 165,000 souls he killed of whom 79% were children and innocent civilians? Is the world better off without them too?

It is also a big shame to see Tony Blair deliberately humiliating himself before the people after the appearance of the Chilcot report. He was near to tears and his voice cracked with emotions when he said: "I express more sorrow, regret and apology than you can ever know or believe."

Blair described his choice to join the US in military action as the "hardest, most momentous, most agonizing decision" of his life. Blair said he had wanted to set the Iraqi people free and secure them from the "evil" of Saddam Hussein, but instead they had become victims of sectarian violence. He forgot that he was dying to join the war with Bush, and that he announced months before the war: "I am with you whatever." He forgot that he ignored more peaceful options and relied on flawed intelligence to find excuses to go to war with Bush. He forgot that he made a public case for war based on false and exaggerated statements. He forgot that the Brits – his own people - called him 'Bush's lap dog'.

Labor leader Jeremy Corbyn apologized on behalf of the party. He apologized to Iraqis, British soldiers and to "the millions of British

citizens who felt that their democracy was traduced and undermined by the way in which the decision to go to war was taken”.

Bush and Blair however, defiantly insisted that the decision they made to invade Iraq was the correct one! Blair said: ““I did it because I thought it was right.”

Is that all there is? Is that all they have to say to the world to justify their heinous crime? Because of their ignorance and also arrogance, they didn't realize the weight of their crime. It is a crime that killed millions of innocent lives, ruined a whole nation and imbalanced the divine law of nature.

Blair and Bush think they can fool the world by the lies they spew from their mouths to conceal the truth, but God is ever on the watch, He pays off the equivalent. And even though His punishment is delayed, it is not to be supposed that He does not see all things. His punishment of evildoers is a form of justice to the weak and the righteous whom they oppressed.

Hypocrisy is the general category of deception. Blair and Bush's hypocrisy is unmatched. They have not surrendered themselves to God but succumbed to worldly interests. Opportunism is their real religion.

The Prophet of Islam said:

“The signs of the hypocrite are three: when he speaks he lies, when he promises he breaks his promise and when he is entrusted he betrays the trust.” (Bukhari and Muslim).

Bush and Blair possessed all these signs of hypocrisy. They lied to the world; they made promises and broke them; they were entrusted to judge in equity between people, but instead, they vanquished and killed them.

Bush and Blair spring from one another and complement one another. They enjoin what is evil and forbid what is just and right. They fail to recall God to mind and by consequence God has forgotten them. The hypocrites are faithless, perverse, and morally depraved. God has

promised the hypocrites the Fire of Hell wherein they will abide forever. Hell claims them as her own. God has cursed them and they shall suffer the torment which is laid upon the damned.

Allah says about them in the Koran:

The hypocrites, the men and the women, are as one another; they bid to dishonor, and forbid honor; they keep their hands shut; they have forgotten God, and He has forgotten them. The hypocrites – they are the ungodly. God has promised the hypocrites, men and women, and the unbelievers, the Fire of Hell, therein to dwell forever. That is enough for them; a lasting chastisement (9: 67, 68).

The hypocrites are destined to the abode in the deep bottomless abyss of Hell, and never shall they find anyone who can afford them help.

God has mentioned the abode of the hypocrites in the Koran. The hypocrites shall surely be in the lowest depth of the Fire; and you will find no helper for them (4: 145).

Bush and Blair do not like the progress of truth. In spite of outward righteousness, they are forgetful of God and the Hereafter, as if God and the Hereafter have no value for them. Such people are never safe from God's wrath. They will be cursed in the world and punished in the Hereafter. They will be deprived of God's grace in the world as well as in the Hereafter.

Bush and Blair had been entrusted to rule in justice but they betrayed the trust and spread mischief in the land.

The Prophet of Islam said:

“There are seven categories of people whom God will shelter under His shade on the Day when there will be no shade except His. [One is] the just leader.”(Saheeh Muslim).

God spoke to His Messenger in this manner:



“O My slaves, I have forbidden injustice for Myself and forbade it also for you. So avoid being unjust to one another.” (Saheeh Muslim).

Allah said in the Koran:

“We sent Our Messengers with clear signs and sent down with them the Book and the Measure in order to establish justice among the people...” (57:25).

Bush and Blair instead of ruling with justice, they tyrannized over Iraq and Afghanistan and doubled their guilt by fraud and deception. They thought they can deceive the people, but they deceived none but themselves. They thought they can deceive God, but God is ever on the watch.

Saddam is not the only person who lived in Iraq. Bush and Blair acted as if only Saddam lived there. Yes, Saddam is a cruel dictator who does not allow freedom of speech and has executed hundreds of his political opponents, but that number pales compared to the million or more Bush and Blair had killed through invasion. Admittedly, Saddam is a brutal and cruel dictator, but Bush and Blair killed an entire generation, ruined bodies and souls, and destroyed a whole civilization.

Because of U.S. power and the longstanding racist arrogance of its leaders, they have never felt that laws apply to themselves - they only apply to others.

U.S. Leaders commit war crimes as a matter of institutional necessity, as their imperial role calls for keeping subordinate peoples in their proper place and assuring a "favorable climate of investment" everywhere. War crimes also come easily because U.S. Leaders consider themselves to be the vehicles of a higher morality and truth and can operate in violation of law without cost. It is also immensely helpful that their mainstream media agree that their country is above the law and will support and rationalize each and every venture and the commission of war crimes.

How it could possibly be that Bush and Blair did not realize the size of the crime they committed? Let's refresh their minds with the crimes they committed:

## **1- Loss of human life**

The casualties of war are first and foremost measured in the loss of human life. Since March 20, 2003, nearly 16,000 civilians have lost their lives in Iraq. This may be compared to 4,622 in 2002, 9,851 in 2003 and 20,030 in 2004.

As mentioned above, the Iraq Body Count brought the total civilian death toll to more than 174,000, with the total violent deaths including combatants up to 242,000 (5).

As Islam teaches, he who allows himself to shed the blood of a believer, is like he who allows shedding the blood of all people, because he contravenes the law of respect for human life upon which the lives of all human beings depend. Similarly, He who forbids shedding the blood of one believer, is like he who forbids shedding the blood of all people, because he is protecting the principle of respect for life of all human beings, which holds that nobody has the right to raise his hand to another.

In other words, he who kills a believing soul intentionally, Allah makes the Fire of Hell his abode. God will become angry with him, and will curse him, and has prepared a tremendous punishment for him, equal to if he had killed all people, and his punishment will still be the same.

Allah says in His noble Koran:

Therefore We prescribed for the Children of Israel that whoso slays a soul not to retaliate for a soul slain, nor for corruption done in the land, shall be as if he had slain mankind altogether; and whoso gives life to a soul, shall be as if he has given life to mankind altogether. Our Messengers have already come to them with the clear signs; then many of them thereafter commit excesses in the earth (5: 32).

God also says in His Holy Book:

And slay not the soul God has forbidden, except by right (17: 33).

Bush and Blair killed not just one person, but hundreds of thousands who call upon their Lord at morning and evening desiring His countenance.

God ascribed unto Himself mercy as an attribute to him so as to give people a chance to repent before the Day of Resurrection when He shall gather all people to His August presence. There and then shall the evildoers realize that they were born to be losers.

The Koran says:

He has prescribed for Himself mercy (6: 12).

Yet Bush and Blair showed no mercy to the Iraqis and made them taste all forms of agony, vanquish, persecution, torture, vagabondage and disperse.

Blair claims that he is a good Christian, and Bush claims he was born again and that God talks to him! Evil is that to which their faith enjoins them if truly they represent themselves as people of faith.

The Iraqis were Allah's worshippers, were submitters to Allah, striving to do good deeds, hoping to gain the pleasure of their Lord and win Paradise, but Bush and Blair killed them!

I wonder: Who is going to pay for all this? The murderers of course.

## **2- Humanitarian crisis**

On the level of humanitarian crisis, with close to 4 million displaced people in and outside of Iraq, an average of about 100 people killed

daily, and a third of the population living in poverty, Iraq 's humanitarian emergency has reached a crisis level that compares with some of the world's most urgent catastrophes.

### **3- Economic crisis**

Since the 2003 invasion, the US has engineered policies to disband various state-owned factories and enterprises. The destruction of Iraq's economy has pushed unemployment levels as high as 60 percent.

In several studies, estimates of Iraq war damages sustained by the United States have ranged around \$1-\$3 trillion. In this current study, measure of war damages sustained by the people and country of Iraq is estimated at \$394.4 billion. This figure consists of 66,081 individuals who lost their lives. The present value of their work life earnings and pain and suffering of their heirs amounted to \$14.2 billion. Moreover 176,382 individuals sustained injuries ranging from 100% disability to 25% disability incurring monetary damages for medical care and loss of earnings in the amount of \$6.0 billion. The war caused 1.9 million individual Iraqi's to emigrate outside of Iraq leaving the war behind including their jobs and property sustaining \$30.8 billion of damages. Another 2.65 million Iraqis migrated internally from violent regions to less violent regions in Iraq who sustained damages of \$33.9 billion. The economy of Iraq lost 27 years of economic progress. The decline in lost Iraqi GDP caused by the war is estimated at \$309.5 billion (6).

### **Destruction of cultural heritage**

The war in Iraq destroyed its cultural heritage. During the war and occupation, the Coalition has failed to protect Iraq's incomparable cultural heritage, exposing it to looters and art thieves. The National Library and the National Museum, along with many other important cultural institutions, were badly damaged and looted in the early days of the occupation.

### **4- Corruption of land after it has been set right**

God has created the universe running quite perfectly and in a well-organized manner. It has no defect in it anywhere. Everything on earth is extremely well-balanced. It is this balance, which has made the earth habitable to human beings and subservient to them so they can

transverse its regions and eat its provisions. Should the slightest disturbance ever occur in this balance, man's life will be destroyed.

God created not the heavens and the earth for mere play. He created them in truth, justice and mercy for an appointed term. Allah has showered his servants with His blessings – rain, crops, wealth and manpower, flourishing gardens, and perennial streams.

God says:

Allah is He Who raised up the heavens without any pillars that you can see. Then He settled Himself on the Throne, and constrained the sun and the moon to serve you; each planet pursues its course during an appointed term. He regulates it all and expounds the Signs, that you may have firm belief in the meeting with your Lord. He it is Who spread out the earth and made therein firmly fixed mountains and rivers, and of fruits of every kind He has made pairs. He causes the night to cover the day. In all this, verily, are signs for people who reflect (13: 3, 4).

We also read in the Koran:

Your Lord is God, Who created the heavens and Earth in six days. He then mounted the Throne, covering day with night, which pursues it urgently—and the sun, moon, and stars subservient, by His command. Verily, His are the creation and the command. Blessed be God, the Lord of all being. Call on your lord, humbly and secretly. He loves not transgressors. Do not spread corruption in the earth after it has been set in order. Call upon Him in awe and eagerly. Surely the grace of God is nigh to the good-doers. It is He Who loses the winds, bearing good tidings before His grace, till, when they are charged with heavy clouds, We drive it to a dead land and use it to send down water and bring forth all fruits [from the soil]. Even so, We shall bring forth the dead. Hopefully you will remember. And the good land's vegetation comes forth by the leave of its Lord, and the corrupt [land's vegetation] comes forth but scantily. Even so We turn about signs for a people who are thankful (7:54-58)

These verses apparently discuss natural phenomena yet mention the Resurrection and prayer's importance. Corruption in the land is forbidden, and we are told that God commands everything and has no partners either in creation or command. Thus the main principles of faith (belief in God's Oneness and the Resurrection) are emphasized while we are reminded of our function or duty: As God's vicegerent, we are to pray, establish justice, avoid corrupting and transgressing the Divine law, and preserving the environment from damage.

The whole earth has been created pure and clean in order for man to acknowledge God through His creation and serve Him as the One and Only God of the whole universe.

Allah says in the Koran:

“And I did not create the jinn and humankind except to worship Me.” (51: 56-58).

Islam preserves an integral view of the universe and sees the flow of Divine grace in the arteries of the cosmic and natural order. As we seek to transcend nature from its very bosom, nature can be an aid in this process, provided that we learn to contemplate it as a mirror reflecting a higher reality:

In the creation of the heavens and Earth and in the alternation of night and day there are signs for people with minds, who remember God and mention His name, standing and sitting and on their sides, and reflect upon the creation of the heavens and earth: “Our Lord, You have not created this for vanity. Glory be to You! Guard us against the chastisement of the Fire. (3:190-91).

Thus revelation is inseparable from the cosmic revelation, which is also a book of God.

Insolent impiety consists not only in humiliating religion, even more vital is the defiance of the laws of nature which God has made for His servants.

Bush and Blair should have realized that God is the Creator of the world, and that they were His trustees on earth. They should have respected His creation and preserved His pure nature from any damage. They however, corrupted the land after it has been set right. They deliberately disturbed equilibrium and caused destruction to the Iraqi environment.

The wars in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan have had a serious impact on the natural environments of these countries. Military vehicles consume petroleum-based fuels at an extremely high rate, with the vehicles used in the war zones having produced many hundreds of thousands of tons of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, hydrocarbons, and sulfur dioxide in addition to CO<sub>2</sub>. Air pollution from military vehicles and weaponry has adversely affected public health among civilians in the war zones and US service members.

Heavy military vehicles have raised more dust than usual, particularly in Iraq and Kuwait, and service members' exposures to inhaled toxins from that dust have correlated with respiratory disorders that often prevent them from continuing to serve and performing everyday activities such as exercise.

The water supply in the war zones has been contaminated by oil from military vehicles and depleted uranium from ammunition. Along with the degradation of the natural resources in these countries and a radical destruction of forest cover, the animal and bird populations have also been adversely affected.

Iraqi medical doctors and health researchers have called for more research on war-related environmental pollution as a potential contributor to the country's poor health conditions and high rates of infections and diseases.

In 1991, new weapons were used for the first time by the American and British troops in Iraq. These weapons proved to have high destructive capability against armored machinery and tanks. Later, there were many signs of being a weapon to destroy the human beings, animals and plants, which raised huge controversy and sharp criticism among scientists, doctors and environmentalists. Despite the opacity and deception, many of the secrets of depleted uranium ammunition were

exposed, and confirmed the seriousness of use and serious repercussions on the environment and public health, which stepped up the international campaign against its manufacturing and use. However, the brilliant military success and profits of the military industry tempted the Pentagon and NATO to continue production and use of these weapons. Despite the high human and environment risks DU was used in various conflicts like Iraq, Bosnia, Kosovo and Serbia, Afghanistan, Gaza, Lebanon and recently in Libya. It is noteworthy to mention that the public and even some scientists, researchers and news media are ignorant of the effects and risks of the use of DU in military operations. This raises the point that there should be a large campaign to raise public awareness to prevent the risk of DU weapons.

### **5- They spread mischief in the land**

God prohibited doing mischief and corruption in the land. Bush and Blair however, lied to the world that they had a mission of peace in Iraq, when they had not even a true perception of right and wrong. They gave a deaf ear to the counselors and by their blind arrogance and love of vainglory they depressed the good and encouraged the evil.

God says about them in the Koran:

“When it is said to them, ‘Do not make corruption in the land’, they say, ‘We are only ones that put things right.’ (2: 11).

The Prophet said that in a Hadith Qudsi (God’s words expressed in Muhammad’s words) that God said:

O My servants, I have made oppression unlawful for Me and unlawful for you, so do not commit oppression against one another... O My servants, these deeds of yours which I am recording for you I shall reward you for them, so he who finds good should praise Allah and he who finds other than that should not blame anyone but himself. [Muslim].

They drove people from their homeland and made them refugees without shelter or protection. Surely their expulsion was unlawful.



These evildoers shall be rewarded with disgrace in the world and with a severe punishment in the Day of Resurrection. God is never unaware of the corruption they spread in His universe. Such are they who buy the life of this world at the price of the Hereafter. Their punishment shall not be lightened for them, nor shall they be helped.

In their war Bush and Blair transgressed all limits. They killed women, children, molested old and infirm men, burned houses, trees and crops, nor peace withheld when the Iraqis came to term.

God has chosen humans to be His successors on earth, ruling it according to His Law and on His behalf. God's mandate to make humans His successors on earth is a great honor to them, but it is a grave responsibility at the same time. The rulers must be just and righteous and live up to God's expectations. Allah has ordained that the rulers succeed Him in implementing His rules. God's trust in his human creation to be His representatives on earth was based on His knowledge of them as capable to receive His trust. Among the characteristics that made humans trustworthy of God's mandate for them to be His successors were their ability to learn, to choose, and to build.

But Bush and Blair betrayed the trust, and instead of building and developing, they destroyed, and killed, thus spreading mischief in the land. They oppressed people, and ruined the planet they have been entrusted to care about. God hates the spread of mischief and oppression in His earthly kingdom. God means no injustice to any of His creatures. He never wishes injustice to His servants.

The Lord said in His last divine Book to the world:

“And Allah wills no injustice for His slaves” (40: 31).

“...and Allah wills no injustice to the world” (3: 108).

Allah has 99 beautiful names in the Koran, one of his beautiful names is “Al-Salam” meaning in Arabic “peace - the source of peace and safety”.

The Koran says:

He is Allah, the only Lord, He is the King; the Holy One; the peace, the Granter of security, the Guardian over all; the Mighty One; the Compeller; the Sublime; Exalted is Allah above whatever they associate with Him (59: 23).

When Allah described himself in the Koran by “peace”, He meant that he is the One who is the source of peace, wholeness and safety. The One who has rendered all of creation to be perfect, whole, prosperous and content. The One whose creation is free of imperfections, free of faults and free of error.

Paradise in the Koran is also called Dâr Alsalam, meaning the Home of Peace. It is free of all grief, death, worries, tensions, fatigues, enmities, hatred, and it is a place of safety.

The Koran says”

Allah calls to the Home of Peace and guided whom He wills to the straight path (10: 25).

Allah is peace and loves peace. He bestows upon His servants peaceful security and safety. He commanded the believers to enter into peace, and live peacefully with each other.

O believer, enter the peace, all of you, and follow not the steps of Satan; for he is your sworn enemy (2: 208).

Bush an Blair killed all this. They killed peace, security, safety and prosperity. They destroyed property and ruined a nation. They killed men, women, boys, girls, babies and the elderly. Their hands are stained with the blood of the innocents; a curse that will follow them until they die, and in the Hereafter, a terrible chastisement awaits them.

Killing is a heinous and irreversible crime. One who deliberately kills another brings down upon himself the wrath of God, who, leaving no scope for atonement will curse him into Hell Fire.

And what about the punishment of deliberate killing in the Hereafter? If anyone kills a believer deliberately, his reward shall be eternal Hell. God will condemn him and reject him, and prepare for him a terrible punishment.

The Koran says:

And whoso slays a believer willfully, his recompense is Hell, therein dwelling forever, and God will be wroth with him and will curse him, and prepare for him a mighty chastisement (4: 93).

This eternal punishment in Hell is for one killing, so how about the killing of millions? The punishment will be the punishment of eternity, and it will last as long as the heavens and earth last.

Was it Saddam Hussein that Bush and Blair were really after – or their real target was the religion of Islam and Muslims in their home lands? What was the offence of the Iraqi people who had been killed in millions? Bush and Blair killed millions in cold blood because they thought they owned the universe, they thought they were the Lord of creation. Bush's dotage took him to believe that God talks to him and has commanded him to kill the forces of evil in Iraq. So he went to Iraq and killed millions without restriction. And Blair – his poodle – followed him blindly just to please him.

Allah had given them power and mastery over the land in order that they judge in truth and justice between people, but they followed their vain desire and transgressed in the land, thus deserving Allah's punishment.

Who is going to be responsible for this huge death toll? Have they forgotten that the day will come when they will stand before the Throne for the final reckoning? Do they think that their lies will save them from God?

In their transgression they thought that the dominion of the heavens and the earth is theirs? Nay but they have not tasted Allah's torment. The most important events that will happen on the Day of Judgement are when we will be held accountable for our deeds and when we will be questioned by our Lord. There are many Koranic verses and narrations of the Prophet that tell us about the Day of Reckoning so that the people can prepare themselves for that momentous Day.

Allah says in the Koran:

"Draws near for mankind their reckoning, while they turn away in heedlessness." (21: 1).

Allah also says:

"Verily, to Us will be their return: -Then verily, for Us will be their Reckoning." (88: 25, 26).

And He says:

"So We shall question those unto whom a Message was sent, and We shall question the Envoys, and We shall relate to them with knowledge; assuredly We were not absent." (7: 6, 7).

The people whom Bush and Blair oppressed and vanquished are surely cursing them. They are now sick, poor and homeless. They have none but God to protect them from the tyranny of the oppressors. Bush and Blair's injustice incurred God's curse over their heads, the angels and the oppressed will continue to curse them until the Day of Doom.

The Prophet said:

"Be afraid, from the curse of the oppressed as there is no screen between his invocation and Allah." (Volume 3, Book 43, Number 628: Sahih Bukhari).

The abode of the Hereafter is reserved for those who seek neither self-aggrandizement on the earth or corruption. It is reserved for the pious and the righteous who believe in the One true God and do good deeds.

We grant the Home in the Hereafter to those who do not seek superiority on earth or spread corruption: the happy ending is awarded to those who are mindful of God (28: 83).

They thought they own the universe, so they destroyed nations, nature and environments. They forgot that the kingdom of the heavens and the earth belongs to God alone, it is not for any being to destroy it, but

only its Creator. They spread mischief in the land, but alas at what cost! If only they could see when they face the punishment- that all power belongs to God, and that God is severe in punishment.

## **6- They both lied to the people**

God has enjoined truthfulness and honesty, and He has forbidden lies and concealment with regard to matters which should be known and revealed to people. God informed us that in the Hereafter, people would benefit only from their truthfulness.

God says in the Koran:

‘O you who believe! Stand out firmly for Allah as just witnesses; and let not the enmity and hatred of others make you avoid justice. Be just: that is nearer to piety’ (5: 8).

Lying is a sin classified among the Greater sins. The Prophet said: “Beware, I inform you regarding the greatest of the mortal sins: associating anything with Allah, disobeying parents and lying!”

The Prophet has also said: “All the evils have been locked in a room and its key is lying.”

There is no calamity exceeding lying, which is a disease leading to the corruption of the soul. Lying opposes faith. Lying and believing can never coexist.

The Prophet of Islam said:

Truth leads to piety and piety leads to Paradise. A man keeps on telling truth till he is recorded as a truthful person. Lying leads to falsehood, falsehood leads to the Hellfire. A man keeps on lying till he is recorded as a liar. [Agreed upon].

The Prophet also said:

“Whosoever possesses these four characteristics is a sheer hypocrite and anyone who possesses one of them possesses a characteristic of

hypocrisy till he gives it up: when he makes a covenant he acts treacherously; when he talks he lies; when he makes a promise he breaks it; and when he quarrels he deviates from the Truth.” [Agreed upon].

Lying is the base of falsehood. The Prophet said: " lying leads to falsehood and falsehood leads to the hellfire." [Agreed upon].

The essence of all hearts deeds is honesty. The essence of showing off, pride, arrogance, boasting, ingratitude, weakness, laziness, cowardice, oppression, tyranny humiliation and other bad traits is lying. Every explicit or implicit good act originates from honesty. Every explicit or implicit corrupt act originates from lying.

The liar is accursed. Lying calls for divine curse and invites the anger of God. God says in His Noble Book the Koran:

“...and let us pray earnestly and invoke the curse of God on the liars (3: 61).

And also:

“... the curse of Allah be on him if he is telling a lie.” (24:8).

The angels curse the liars. The Prophet of Islam is quoted to have said:

“When a believer utters a lie without a valid excuse, he is cursed by seventy thousand angels. Such a stench emanates from his heart that it reaches the sky and because of this single lie Allah writes for him a sin equivalent to that of committing seventy fornications. Such fornications that the least of which is fornication with one’s mother.”

Undoubtedly, lying is the worst of sins. It is obvious that the evils of lying are more dreadful than those of adultery. Some false words lead to war between nations or between sections of the society. Some lies blight the honor of thousands of people or endanger their lives, or lead to economic disasters. Often due to lying, innocent people are sent to the gallows and their families destroyed.

The lies of Bush and Blair led to a terrible war in Iraq and killed and displaced millions of innocent people.

The liar is not a believer. One who is a believer never speaks a lie.

God says in the Koran:

“Only they forge the lie who do not believe in God’s revelations: they are the liars” (16:105).

God also says:

“God does not guide any ungrateful liar.” (39:3).

The combined forces of evil, though they may have worldly appearances in their favor, and though they may seem to be successful for a time, cannot stand against God. He has but to command His forces of punishment to exert themselves, and they will subdue evil without delay.

The liars are destined to have a terrible fate. On the Day of Resurrection they will be dragged by the forelock to hell fire. The forelock is on the forehead, and is thus symbolical of the summit and crown of the man’s power and dignity. To be dragged by it is to suffer the lowest dregs of humiliation.

The Koran says:

“We will drag him by the forelock – a lying, sinful forelock. So let him call his concourse! We shall call the guards of Hell.” (96: 15-18).

A liar is inflicted with several kinds of punishments. The Prophet said while describing the spectacle of Miraj (Ascension):

“I saw a man, who lay flat on his back while an angel mounted his head. The angel who was standing upon the head had a pointed iron rod. He repeatedly injured the sleeping person. The face of the victim was shattered up to the neck. But when the rod was lifted the head returned to its original form. Again the rod was hit on the face and the man suffered the same retribution.”

The Holy Prophet inquired, “Why is this man punished?”

He was told, "This is a man who left his home in the morning and uttered a lie that caused harm to the people. So he shall be punished in this manner (after death) till the Day of Resurrection."

The worst kind of falsehood is what one utters against God the All-Mighty.

Allah says in the Koran:

"And, for what your tongues describe, do not utter the lie, (saying) this is lawful and this is unlawful, in order to forge a lie against Allah; surely those who forge a lie against Allah shall not prosper. A little enjoyment and they shall have a painful punishment." (16:116-117).

Bush and Blair forgot that God knows that is in their hearts, whether they conceal it or reveal it. He knows everything that the heavens and earth contain; God has power over all things. They should have feared God because to Him they shall return.

Allah says in the Koran:

"Man does not utter any word except that with him is an observer prepared [to record]." (50: 18).

Not even an atom's weight escapes your Lord on the earth or in the heaven, nor is there anything smaller or bigger than that, except that it is on record in a Clear Book (10: 61).

He has the keys to the realm of unseen (that lies beyond the reach of human perception); none knows them but He. And He knows what is on the land and in the sea; there is not a leaf which falls that He does not know about and there is not a grain in the darkness of the earth or anything green or dry which has not been recorded in a Clear Book. He recalls your souls by night, and knows what you do by day; and then He raises you back each day in order that the term appointed by Him is fulfilled. Then to Him you will return whereupon He will let you know what you have been doing (6: 59, 60).

All that is hidden in the heavens and the earth lies within the power of Allah. To Him are all matters referred for judgement. So do serve Him,



and place in Him all your trust. Your Lord is not heedless of what you do (11: 123).

Bush and Blair concealed the evidences and let their evil desires swerve them from equity and justice. They conferred in private in support of transgression and betrayal. Conspiracy for evil purposes is the work of Satan. They sold their wretched souls for a small price – vainglory. How evil was that their selling! They were laden with anger upon anger. Woe to every guilty imposter, because of the chastisement of a painful day.

On the Day of Resurrection they will bear witness against themselves that they were liars. God bears witness that they are a liars, and God suffices as a witness.

## **7- They waged war against Islam**

Since the early 1980s, Washington has covertly supported the most conservative and fundamentalist factions of Islam, largely with a view to weakening secular, nationalist and progressive movements in the Middle East and Central Asia. Known and documented, the fundamentalist Wahhabi and Salafi missions from Saudi Arabia, dispatched not only to Afghanistan but also to the Balkans and to the Muslim republics of the former Soviet republics were covertly supported by US intelligence. What is often referred to as “Political Islam” is in large part a creation of the US intelligence apparatus (with the support of Britain’s MI6 and Israel’s Mossad).

US military dogma and war propaganda under the Bush administration were predicated on combating Islam and targeting Muslims. Although George Bush declared: “We are not at war against Islam”, what he did in Iraq and Afghanistan was a clear war against Islam and the Muslim countries.

In the wake of 9/11, the Muslim community in most Western countries was markedly on the defensive. The “Good Muslim” “Bad Muslim” divide was broadly accepted. In America, the Muslim community as a whole was being targeted. Islam was described as a “religion of war”.

Muslims were increasingly the object of routine discrimination and ethnic profiling. They were considered a potential threat to national security. In turn, Al Qaeda was described as a powerful multinational terrorist organization (possessing WMDs) with subsidiaries (covertly supported by US and allied intelligence agencies) in a number of Muslim countries.

Under Bush rule, a war of religion unfolded, with a view to justifying a global military crusade. In the inner consciousness of many Americans, the “holy crusade” against Muslims is justified. While President Bush upheld freedom of religion, he prepared the ground for institutionalizing patterns of discrimination, prejudice and xenophobia directed against Muslims. Ethnic profiling applied to travel, the job market, access to education and social services and more generally to social status and mobility.

Bush established an inquisitorial doctrine based on lies and fabrications. Because these lies emanate from the highest political authority and were part of a widely held consensus, they remained unchallenged. And those who challenged the inquisitorial order or in any way opposed America’s military or national security agenda were themselves branded as conspiracy theorists or outright terrorists.

Beyond the process of inquisitorial arrests and prosecution, which outdwarfs the Spanish inquisition, an expedient extrajudicial assassination program sanctioned by the White House had been launched. This program allowed US Special Forces to kill American citizens and suspected homegrown terrorists.

The objective was to sustain the illusion that America was under attack, and that Muslims across the land were complicit and supportive of “Islamic terrorism”.

The demonization of Muslims sustained a global military agenda. Under the American inquisition, Washington had a self-proclaimed holy mandate to extirpate Islam and “spread democracy” throughout the world.

What we were dealing with at the time of Bush was an outright and blind acceptance of the structures of power and political authority. America’s

holy crusade against the Muslim World was an outright criminal act directed against millions of people. It was a war of economic conquest.

More than 60% of the World's oil and natural gas reserves lie in Muslim lands. "The Battle for Oil" waged by the US NATO Israel military alliance requires the demonization of the inhabitants of those countries which possess these vast reserves of oil and natural gas (7).

Bush waged war against Islam even though he said the opposite. He left the Christian leaders (Some call them false prophets), the Republicans and the neocons, the Christian Right, the Judeo-Christian coalition and military men to attack Islam without mercy. He allowed them to do such despicable acts under the pretext of freedom of speech. These factions and sects – these gangs - had surely instigated the people without reason against Islam.

Those who challenge Allah and His Messenger will be among those most humiliated.

Allah says about the recompense of His enemies:

Surely those who oppose Allah and His Messenger, those are the most abject. Allah has written, "I shall assuredly be victor, I and My Messengers." Surely Allah is All-Strong, All-Mighty (58: 20, 21).

Those who resist Allah and His Messenger will be humbled to dust, as were those before them. On the Day of Judgment Allah will raise them all up again and show them the truth and meaning of their conduct. Allah has reckoned its value, though they have forgotten it, for Allah is witness to all things.

Surely those who oppose Allah and His Messenger shall be frustrated as those before them were frustrated. Now We have sent down signs, clear signs; and for the unbelievers awaits a humbling chastisement, upon the Day when Allah shall raise them up all together, then He shall tell them what they did, Allah has numbered it, and they have forgotten it. Allah is witness over everything (58: 5, 6).

To oppose God and His Messenger is to oppose the truth. Those who challenge Allah and His Messenger are cursed; they are beset by sin

and covered with ignominy as were the like before them. There awaits them a severe punishment in the present world and a condign torture in the Hereafter. All their evil is known to Allah. They can never hide anything from God, nor is it possible for them to elude His grasp. Indeed, those who oppose Allah and His Messenger are abased as those before them were abased. And We have certainly sent down verses of clear evidence. And for the disbelievers is a humiliating punishment (58: 5).

Enough for them is Hell: in it they will burn, and evil is that destination. Human life is divided into two parts: today and tomorrow. The present world is a man's today and the world of the Hereafter is man's tomorrow. Whatever man does evil in the present world, he has to face the terrible consequences in the Hereafter. It is not just that, but Allah also afflicts the wrongdoers with severe calamities in the present life, coupled with a severe permanent torture in the Hereafter - a blazing fire roaring over their corrupted hearts, covered down upon them, in columns of fire outstretched.

Who would dare launch a war against Allah, the Lord of the universe, His Muslim servants and devotees but every sinful liar! Those who attacked Islam or waged war against it, their evil deeds will appear to them on the Day of Resurrection, and that which they used to mock at will engulf them; and it will be said to them: "You have had the good things of the life of this world, and you enjoyed them. Now this Day you will be requited with humiliating punishment because you were arrogant in the land without right and because you disobeyed your Creator. Today you will not be brought out from the Fire, nor will you be allowed to make amends.

Nations of the world progress and rise until they reach their zenith; but when they are afflicted by Allah's scourge, as a result of their misdeeds, they are reduced to such a condition that they cannot find any place in the world to which they can run away or in which they can take a refuge.

God says in the Koran:

How many generation, far greater in prowess, have We destroyed before them! They searched the entire land: but could they find a refuge? There

is truly a reminder in this for whoever has a heart, whoever listens attentively (50: 36, 37).

Shall Allah treat those who do good works the same as those who spread corruption in the land? Should Allah treat the good as the wicked?

Paradise is granted to those who lived their lives with feelings of benevolence towards others, who have known how to forget their grievances and love others wholeheartedly, who have always spoken of others with respect, who have chosen for others what they desired for themselves, who have always longed in their hearts for the well-being of others and who have rejoiced in seeing others in a state of well-being – such will be the people who will be entitled to take up their abode in the splendid world of Paradise.

These are the characteristics of the people of Paradise. Where are Bush and Blair from these Characteristics?

Bush and Blair should have worked hard to save their wretched souls from Hellfire. The Hellfire is the worst abode, its torment is the most horrific of all, its heat is extreme, its pit is bottomless, and its maces are made of iron. A stone may continue to fall for seventy years before it reaches its depth. Its ways are narrow, it is constantly ablaze, terribly drawing its breath, and its gates are locked. Its scorching columns are outstretched. Its heat is continuously increasing. It is the wrath of the compeller - Al Jabbar - and His punishment. Woe to those who breach Allah's justice from the Fire.

On the Day of Judgment, Whole nations will be brought to their knees. Those who were unjust to God's servants will realize the consequences of their actions. They will be dispatched to a shadow of smoke ascending in three columns, which yields no shade or coolness and is of no use against the fierce blaze. The flames of a blazing fire will surround them. They will hear its exhalations and groaning and witness its rage and fury. They will be called on by the

angels of the Hellfire, as the Koran says: “So enter the gates of Hell, to abide therein, and indeed, what an evil abode will be for the arrogant” (16: 29). They will be gathered in the Hellfire, with the angels of the punishment crushing them. They will groan in its straits as they tumble downwards. On that day they will be bound together in fetters, their garments will be made of liquid pitch, and their faces will be covered with fire. Their necks will be shackled and they will be dragged by chains, pulled by the forehead and feet, and then thrown into the Hellfire. A burning fluid will be poured onto their heads and it will melt their skins and their stomachs. Their hands will be shackled with iron fetters, and their foreheads, sides, and backs will be burnt. The Koran says to them: “Taste you the touch of Hell.” (54: 48).

They will be made to eat bitter Zaqqum and Dari, which will neither nourish nor satisfy their hunger. They will be made to drink a boiling fluid and a dark, murky, and intensely cold liquid that will burn their faces and tear up their stomachs. The Koran says: “He will sip it unwillingly, and he will find a great difficulty to swallow it down his throat” (14: 17). Therein they will cry: “Our Lord! Bring us out, we shall do righteous good deeds, not (the evil deeds) that we used to do” (Allah will reply): “Did We not give you lives long enough, so that whosoever would receive admonition could receive it? And the warner came to you. So taste you (the evil of your deeds). For the wrong-doers, there is no helper” (35: 37) “ ... As often as their skins are roasted through, We shall change them for other skins that they may taste the punishment ... ” (4: 56). They will wish to die, only there will be no way out of the Hellfire, nor will there be an escape. The Koran says: “And they will cry: O Malik let your Lord make an end of us, he will say: Verily you shall abide forever. Indeed We have brought the truth to you, but most of you have a hatred for the truth” (43: 77-78). Their inhalation and exhalation will then be heard even louder when they are deprived of what they desire.

Allah says in the Koran: “They shall have coverings of fire, above them and covering beneath them ... ” (39: 16). “ ... whenever it abates, We shall increase for them the fierceness of the fire” (17: 97). “Seize him and fetter him. Then throw him in the blazing fire. Then fasten him with a chain whereof the length is seventy cubits” (69: 30-32). “In fierce hot

wind and boiling water. And shadow of black smoke. Neither cool, nor good” (56: 42-44).

Of the great sins which Allah forbade His servants to do is oppression.

The Koran says:

And when the evildoers behold the chastisement, it shall not be lightened for them, and no respite shall be given them (16: 85).

The Prophet said that God said: "O My Servants, I have prohibited injustice for Myself and have made oppression unlawful for you, so do not oppress one another."

Bush and Blair lied to the world. They thought that lying was some kind of intelligence, cleverness, and skill. They thought that lying is a light thing. They didn't know that with God lying is something most heinous. Lying is the trait of the hypocrite, and hypocrites will be thrown in the lowest bottom of the Fire, to abide therein forever.

Today Blair takes great pride in his association with Bush. He thinks he is clinging to a firm rock which will help him cross the distress encircling him. Those who became united for some negative purpose cannot remain united for long, because for the purpose of long-lasting unity, a positive basis is required, and that is precisely what they do not have. Such association between partners sharing a horrendous crime is meaningless, because on doomsday, both will be utterly helpless; they will look at their past life in despair, they will be utterly weak to rectify their crime. They will be able to do nothing but regret their past deeds.

They oppose the truth, and they think that by this they are making themselves safe. But God bears witness that they are indeed liars. In the Hereafter when they see that the things on which they relied were of no avail, they will be struck with horror.

There is disgrace in this world in store for them. God will afflict them in the present life with fear, loss of health and wealth, defamation and disgrace, and in the Hereafter awaits them a great punishment.

The arrogant will have the worst return; they will burn in Hell – an evil resting place. They will taste a boiling fluid and dirty wound discharges, and other torments of similar kind. Bush and Blair thought they were too high and mighty, forgetting that Allah is higher and mightier.

The Lord says in the Koran:

For the evildoers await an ill resort, Hell, wherein they are roasted – an evil cradling! All this will be theirs; so let them taste it – boiling water and puss, and other torments of the like coupled together (Sâd, 55).

The torment will come on them from directions they perceive not. Allah will make them taste the disgrace in the present life, but greater is the torment of the Hereafter if they only knew. Allah is exalted in power, possessor of retribution. Is there not in Hell an abode for the haughty?

Bush and Blair, you will come to know to whom comes a disgracing torment, and on whom descends an everlasting chastisement. The evil results of that which you did, will overtake you and you will never be able to escape God's wrath.

The present life you are enjoying right now is nothing but a quick passing enjoyment; the Hereafter is the home that will last. Truly God is swift in reckoning.

You will stand before Allah, the One who knows the fraud of the eyes, and all that the breasts conceal. Nothing of you will be hidden from Him. The Day when your excuses will be of no profit to you. Yours will be the curse, and yours will be the evil abode.

Soon you will remember what I am telling you when the iron collars will be rounded over your necks, and the chains you will be dragged along in the boiling water. Then in the Fire you will be burned. That is because you had been exulting in the earth without right, and you used to rejoice extremely in your error. What an evil abode of the arrogant!

Now they justify themselves before the people with lies and falsehood, are they going to justify themselves before God with the same lies and



falsehood? Justify not yourselves before God, because he knows best who is truly righteous.

They are boastful liars. They swear to falsehood knowingly. They are evildoers sunk in folly and error. Allah has made ready for them a chastisement terrible.

Allah said about them in the Koran:

Surely the sinners are in error and insanity. The day when they are dragged on their faces into the Fire: "Taste now the touch of Sakar (Hell)! (54: 47, 48).

The Koran taught us that the sinner on the Day of Judgment would wish he could be redeemed from that endless and severe punishment with the lives of his own children, and with the lives of his own wife and brother, and with the lives of his kindred who in life afforded him protection, and with the lives of all those on earth, just to escape the horror, which doubles all his torment and his woe. But never will he succeed in avoiding the tormenting Hell Fire, the Fire which, with its infernal hiss and crackle, shall burn into his inmost being, but reserves both his physical and mental feeling for the realization of pain and distress, which will not abate or expire, inviting those who turned their backs and turned away their faces from the truth.

We read in the Koran:

The sinner will wish that he might ransom himself from the chastisement of that Day even by his sons, his companion wife, his brother, his brother, his kin who sheltered him, and whosoever is in the earth, all together, so that then it might deliver him. Nay, verily, it is a furnace snatching away the scalp, calling him who drew back and turned away, who amassed and hoarded (70: 11-18).

They suppress the truth with their lies; they try to smoothen it; it is like the foolish play of people who do not think seriously. Allah leaves them for now to indulge in lies and falsehood, and amuse themselves, until they face the Day which they have been promised – the Day of Reckoning, The Day of Judgment.

So where are you going? Allah encompasses you from all sides. You are evil transgressors. According to the English saying, "As you have made your bed, so you must be in it." Enough for them is Hell – an evil bed indeed to be on.

As we read in the Koran:

And some men there are whose saying upon the present world please thee, and such a one calls on God to witness what is in his heart, yet he is most stubborn in altercation, and when he turns his back, he hastens about the earth, to do corruption there and to destroy the tillage and the stock; and God loves not corruption; and when it is said to him, 'Fear God', his arrogance leads him to sin. So enough for him is Hell. How evil a cradling (2: 204-206).

Their hearts are filled with the love of this world. You find them clinging to life more eagerly. Any of them would wish to be given a life of a thousand years, but even the grant of such life will not save them from due punishment, for God sees well all that they do.

I tell them: "Eat your fill and enjoy your life for a little while, surely, Hell lies in wait, a home for the transgressors, where they shall remain for ages, and where they will taste neither coolness nor any drink save boiling water and a stinking fluid – a fitting requital.

Bush and Blair, do what you will; lie to the people, keep lying till the end of your days, you will certainly come to know who will receive the punishment to disgrace him, and who the liar is. Wait on, I shall wait on with you. And be upon the watch; I shall be with you, watching.

## References

- 1- Chilcot report rebukes Tony Blair over Iraq invasion. By Henry Mance, Political Correspondent [www.ft.com](http://www.ft.com) › UK › Politics & Policy.
- 2- How Tony Blair lied to Parliament to fulfil his war promise to Bush. By Peter Osborne. [www.middleeasteye.net/essays](http://www.middleeasteye.net/essays)

- 3- Blair cared more about getting filthy rich than he did about peace in the Middle East. By Francis Beckett and David Hencke.  
[www.dailymail.co.uk](http://www.dailymail.co.uk)
  
- 4- Half-Million Iraqis Died in the War, New Study Says. Household survey records deaths from all war-related causes, 2003 to 2011. By Dan Vergano, National Geographic.  
news.nationalgeographic.com
  
- 5- Iraq body count. <https://iraqbodycount.org/>
  
- 6- America's holy crusade against the Muslim world. By Professor Mitchel Choussudovsky.  
[www.globalresearch.ca/america-s-holy-crusade-against-the-muslim-world](http://www.globalresearch.ca/america-s-holy-crusade-against-the-muslim-world)
  
- 7- Reference 6: Estimating War Damages Sustained by Iraq (2003 - 2010). By Nake M. Kamrany. Professor of Economics, University of Southern California. [www.huffingtonpost.com](http://www.huffingtonpost.com)

